



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/718,939

11/21/2003

Patrick Hosein

4740-229

2915

24112 7590 02/06/2009
COATS & BENNETT, PLLC
1400 Crescent Green, Suite 300
Cary, NC 27518

EXAMINER

MUI, GARY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/718,939	Applicant(s) HOSEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner GARY MUI	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16-36 and 38-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 15 and 37 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 – 44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

2. The amendment to the specification has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 2416

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1 – 7, 12 – 9, 21 – 29, 34 – 41, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (US 2005/0105604 A1; hereinafter “Ito”) in view of Kim et al. (US 2002/0141349 A1; hereinafter “Kim”).

For claim 1, Ito teaches determining a rate change probability and selectively changing the transmission rate of the mobile station responsive to a current rate control command based on the rate change probability (see paragraphs 0066 – 0072 and 0136; terminal which includes a bit rate control portion that will calculate the target bit rate and the use of the target rate is used to calculate the probability that will make a judgment for the bit rate change). Ito fails to explicitly teach receiving a period load indication from a base station and calculating a load tracking value based on two or more periodic load indications. Kim from the same field of endeavor teaches that a base station approximates a load on the reverse link and prepares to send to a mobile various messages indicating whether the reverse link load is large or small and the mobile station receives the messages sent by the base station indicating the current reverse link load and the mobile station will use this information to change its rate (see paragraph 0020 and 0022). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to have Kim's base station send the load information to Ito mobile station where the mobile station of Ito calculates the rate as taught by Kim which is a

Art Unit: 2416

function of the load. The motivation for doing this is to the performance of the system by minimizing interference.

For claim 23, Ito teaches a transmitter for transmitting signals to the base station at a variable data transmission rate (see paragraphs 0030 – 0032; terminal transmits based on the transmission bit rate), a controller to vary the data transmission rate of the mobile station (see paragraphs 0030 – 0032; 0066 – 0072 and 0136; bit rate control portion), the controller operative to determine a rate change probability and selectively change the data transmission rate of the mobile station responsive to a current rate control command based on the rate change probability (see paragraphs 0066 – 0072 and 0136; terminal which includes a bit rate control portion that will calculate the target bit rate and the use of the target rate is used to calculate the probability that will make a judgment for the bit rate change). Ito fails to explicit teach receiving a period load indication form a base station and calculating a load tracking value based on two or more periodic load indications. Kim from the same field of endeavor teaches that a base station approximates a load on the reverse link and prepares to send to a mobile various messages indicating weather the reverse link load is large or small and the mobile station receives the messages sent by the base station indicating the current reverse link load and the mobile station will use this information to change its rate (see paragraph 0020 and 0022). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to have Kim's base station send the load information to Ito mobile station where the mobile station of Ito calculates the rate as taught by Kim which is a function of the load. The motivation for doing this is to the performance of the system by minimizing interference.

Art Unit: 2416

For claim 2 and 24, Ito and Kim teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of calculating a load tracking value based on two or more periodic load indication comprises calculating a weighted average of two or more periodic load indications (see Ito paragraphs 0066 - 0086 and Kim paragraphs 0020 and 0022; the current rate and the adjacent rate are used to calculate the transmission rate and the transmission rate is a function of the load).

For claims 3 and 25, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method that the period load indication is received from the base station a predetermined rate control interval, and calculating a weighed average of two or more indication comprised calculating a weighed average of a current periodic load indication for a current rate control interval and at least one pervious periodic load indication for a previous rate control interval (00136 – 0139).

For claims 4 and 26, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of calculating a weighted average of two or more periodic load indications comprises calculating the weighted average with an exponential decay function (see paragraphs 0066 – 0086).

For claims 5 and 27, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of calculating a load tracking value based on two or more periodic load comprises calculating a running average of two or more periodic load indications over a sliding time window (00136 – 0139).

For claims 6 and 28, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method that the running average is a weighed average (see paragraphs 0066 – 0086).

For claims 7 and 29, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of calculating a load tracking value based on two or more periodic load indications comprises

Art Unit: 2416

evaluating a continuous load tracking function that converts discrete periodic load indications from the base station to a continuous load tracking (see paragraphs 0066 – 0086).

For claims 12 and 34, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining a rate change probability as a function of the load tracking value comprises scaling the load tracking value to generate the rate change probability (see paragraphs 0030 and 0034).

For claims 13 and 35, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method that the rate change probability is a continuous rate change probability (see paragraph 0034).

For claims 14 and 36, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining a rate change probability as a function of the load tracking value comprises tracking the load tracking value as the rate change probability over at least a defined range of load tracking values (see paragraphs 0066 - 0086).

For claims 16 and 38, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining a sliding window in the range of possible load tracking values; comparing the load tracking value to the sliding window to obtain a comparison result; and determining the rate change probability based on an outcome of the comparison result (see paragraphs 0066 – 0086).

For claims 17 and 39, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining the rate change probability based on an outcome of the comparison result comprises setting the rate change probability dependent on whether the load tracking value is within the sliding window (see paragraphs 0066 - 0086 and 0136 - 0138).

Art Unit: 2416

For claims 18 and 40, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of setting the rate change probability dependent on whether the load tracking value is within the sliding window comprises setting the rate change probability to zero when the load tracking value is within the sliding window (see paragraphs 0066 - 0086 and 0136 - 0138).

For claims 19 and 41, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining a sliding window in the range of possible load tracking values comprises determining the position of the sliding window in the load tracking range dependent on the current transmission rate of the mobile station (see paragraphs 0066 - 0086 and 0136 - 0138).

For claims 21 and 43, Ito teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining a rate change probability is dependent on a user class associated with a user of the mobile station (see paragraphs 0066 - 0086 and 0136 - 0138).

For claims 22 and 44, Ito et al. teaches the mobile station for implementing the method of determining a rate change probability is dependent on a quality of service criteria (see paragraphs 0066 - 0086 and 0136 - 0138).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 8 – 11 and 30 – 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito and Kim as applied to claims 1 or 23 above, and further in view of Soliman (US 6,490,460 B1)..

For claims 8 – 11 and 30 – 33, Ito, and Kim fails teach all of the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of determining a rate change probability as a function of the load tracking value comprises calculating the rate change probability based on the distance of the

Art Unit: 2416

load tracking value from a target load tracking value; the rate change probability increases with distance over at least a defined range of load tracking; the rate change probability varies linearly over the defined range of load tracking; and the defined range is the entire range of possible values of the load tracking function. Soliman from the same field of endeavor teaches the present invention dynamically adjusts the parameters of a power control loop that attempts to maintain the transmit power of a signal sent from a base station to a mobile station above a minimum threshold. In this embodiment, location information that is representative of the distance and the morphology between the mobile station and the base station is determined. The minimum threshold of the power control loop is then adjusted in accordance with the location information such that the minimum threshold varies as the distance and the morphology between the mobile station and the base station change (see column 2 lines 23 – 34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to adjust the rate as taught by Ito to include the adjustment based on distance as taught by Soliman. The motivation for doing this is improve allow for a better transmission of data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 20 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito and Kim as applied to claims 1 or 23 above, and further in view of Black (US 6,397,070 B1).

For claims 20 and 42, Ito teaches all of the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of determining a sliding window in the range of possible load tracking values comprises determining the position of the sliding window in the load tracking range

Art Unit: 2416

dependent on the current transmission power of the mobile station. Black from the same field of endeavor teaches that a control command to decrease transmission power of forward link signals is sent to forward link transmission subsystem. In response to this signal, the power amplifier in the forward link transmission subsystem reduces the gain of the transmissions. In addition, a corresponding signal to increase a noise floor of the receiver is sent to the receiver. In response to this signal noise is injected into the received reverse link signals (see column 9 lines 23 – 30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to adjust the rate as taught by Ito to include the current transmission power as taught by Black. The motivation for doing this is to allow for a better calculation of the load value to better transmission system.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 15 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Honkasaari et al. (US 6,219,343) is cited to show common rate control method for reverse link channels in CDMA networks.

11. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular paragraphs or columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the

Art Unit: 2416

specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARY MUI whose telephone number is (571)270-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. 9 - 3 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,939

Page 11

Art Unit: 2416

/Ricky Ngo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2416

/Gary Mui/

Examiner, Art Unit 2416

01/30/2009